



TITLE:

Quasi-Polynomial Algebras (Commutative Algebra and Algebraic Geometry)

AUTHOR(S):

ASANUMA, TERUO

CITATION:

ASANUMA, TERUO. Quasi-Polynomial Algebras (Commutative Algebra and Algebraic Geometry). 数理解析研究所講究録 1981, 446: 70-79

ISSUE DATE:

1981-12

URL:

<http://hdl.handle.net/2433/102899>

RIGHT:

QUASI-POLYNOMIAL ALGEBRAS

By

Teruo ASANUMA (Toyama University)

Introduction.

Let R be a commutative ring, and let $R^{[n]}$ be a polynomial ring $R[X_1, \dots, X_n]$. For a prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of R , we denote by $j(\mathfrak{p})$ the integral closure of R/\mathfrak{p} in its quotient field $k(\mathfrak{p}) = R_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}$. There are natural injections $R/\mathfrak{p} \hookrightarrow j(\mathfrak{p}) \hookrightarrow k(\mathfrak{p})$, and hence $j(\mathfrak{p})$ may be considered as an R -subalgebra of $k(\mathfrak{p})$.

Definition. An R -algebra A is called a quasi-polynomial R -algebra in n -variables if the base extension $j(\mathfrak{p}) \otimes_R A$ is $j(\mathfrak{p})$ -isomorphic to $j(\mathfrak{p})^{[n]}$ for each prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of R .

Of course the polynomial ring $R^{[n]}$ is a trivial example of quasi-polynomial algebras. Conversely if R is an integrally closed local domain, then any quasi-polynomial R -algebra is a polynomial ring over R . But there is a non-trivial example of quasi-polynomial R -algebras in general as follows:

Example. (a): Let k be a field of positive characteristic p , and let $R = k[Y^2, Y^3] \subset k[Y] \cong_k k^{[1]}$. If we set $A = R[Z^p, Z + YZ^p] \subset k[Y, Z] \cong_k k^{[2]}$, then $A^{[1]} \cong_R R^{[2]}$ and $A \not\cong_R R^{[1]}$. Moreover A is a quasi-polynomial R -algebra in one-variable ([2], [12]).

(b): Similarly if we set $R = \mathbb{Z}[2\sqrt{2}]$ and $A = R[Z^2, Z + \sqrt{2}Z^2]$, then

$A^{[1]} \cong_R R^{[2]}$ and $A \not\cong_R R^{[1]}$. This is another non-trivial example of quasi-polynomial R -algebras ([3]).

The purpose of this article is to discuss some topics related to quasi-polynomial algebras. For the detail we refer to [5] and [6].

1. Generalities.

We will need some definitions from [7] and [9].

Definition. An R -algebra A is called weakly projective if A is a retract of a polynomial ring $R^{[n]}$, i.e., there is a pair of R -homomorphisms

$$A \xrightarrow{g} R^{[n]} \xrightarrow{f} A$$

such that $f \circ g = \text{id}_A$, the identity map on A . An R -algebra A is called invertible if there is an R -algebra B such that

$$A \otimes_R B \cong_R R^{[n]}.$$

An invertible algebra is weakly projective but the converse does not necessarily hold.

Definition. An R -algebra A is called strongly projective if there is a projective A -module M such that the symmetric algebra $S_A(M)$ is R -isomorphic to $R^{[n]}$.

In this case the symmetric algebra $S_A(M)$ is considered as an R -algebra by natural homomorphisms $R \rightarrow A \rightarrow S_A(M)$. These three types

of algebras are very closely related to quasi-polynomial algebras. It is easy to see that the symmetric algebra $S_R(N)$ of a finitely-generated projective R -module N is a typical example of these three algebras.

Theorem 1.1. Let R be a noetherian ring, and let A be a finitely-generated, flat, quasi-polynomial R -algebra such that the differential A -module $\Omega_R(A)$ is projective. Then A is a strongly projective R -algebra.

In the case that R is reduced, we can omit the assumption of $\Omega_R(A)$ to be projective from Theorem 1.1. Thus we have the following corollary of Theorem 1.1.

Corollary 1.2. Let R be a reduced noetherian ring, and let A be a finitely-generated, flat, quasi-polynomial R -algebra. Then A is a strongly projective R -algebra.

In the following we consider some applications of quasi-polynomial algebras.

2. Algebras stably equivalent to $R^{[1]}$.

Definition. An R -algebra A is called stably equivalent to an R -algebra B if $A^{[n]} \cong_R B^{[n]}$.

Lemma 2.1. Let A be a strongly projective R -algebra. Then A is stably equivalent to $R^{[n]}$ if and only if $\Omega_R(A)$ is stably equivalent (as an A -module) to a free A -module A^n of rank n ,

i.e., $\Omega_R(A) \oplus A^r \cong A^{n+r}$.

Proposition 2.2. Let R be a noetherian ring, and let A be a finitely-generated, flat, quasi-polynomial R -algebra. Then A is stably equivalent to $R^{[n]}$ if and only if $\Omega_R(A)$ is stably equivalent to A^n .

Proposition 2.3. Let R be a noetherian ring, and let A be an R -algebra. Then the following two conditions are equivalent:

- (i) A is stably equivalent to $R^{[1]}$.
- (ii) A is a quasi-polynomial R -algebra in one variable such that $\Omega_R(A)$ is free.

Definition. We say that an R -algebra A is R -invariant if any R -algebra B stably equivalent to A is R -isomorphic to A ([1]).

Thus under the assumption that $R^{[1]}$ is R -invariant a finitely-generated, flat, quasi-polynomial R -algebra A in one-variable is R -isomorphic to $R^{[1]}$ if and only if $\Omega_R(A)$ is free. As shown in the introduction, $R^{[1]}$ is not always R -invariant. We give a necessary and sufficient condition on R for $R^{[1]}$ to be R -invariant. (See [2],[3],[5],[12])

Definition. Let R be a subring of a reduced ring S . We say that an element $a \in S$ is of F -type over R if $a^2, a^2, na \in R$ for some positive integer n . If each element $a \in S$ of F -type

over R is contained in R , then R is said to be F -closed in S . If a reduced ring R is F -closed in any reduced ring S containing R as a subring, then R is called an F -ring ([3]).

A reduced ring containing a field of characteristic zero is a typical example of F -rings. A semi-normal ring (i.e., a reduced ring R such that the Picard group $\text{Pic}(R)$ is canonically isomorphic to $\text{Pic}(R^{[n]})$ for any $n > 0$) is another example of F -rings ([12]). On the other hand $k[Y^2, Y^3]$ and $\mathbb{Z}[2\sqrt{2}]$ are the examples of non- F -rings, where k is a field of positive characteristic p .

Theorem 2.4. $R^{[1]}$ is R -invariant if and only if $R_{\text{red}} = R/\sqrt{(0)}$ is an F -ring, where $\sqrt{(0)}$ denotes the nil-radical of R .

Let R be a reduced ring with a finite-number of minimal prime ideals. The F -closure $F(R)$ of R is defined as the intersection $\bigcap_{\lambda} R_{\lambda}$ of all F -rings R_{λ} such that $R \subset R_{\lambda} \subset K$, where K is the total quotient ring of R . We note that R is an F -ring if and only if $F(R) = R$. If R is of prime characteristic p , then for any element $a \in F(R)$ there is a positive integer e such that $a^{p^e} \in R$. (The integer e may depend on a)

Theorem 2.5. Let R be a reduced noetherian ring of prime characteristic p , and let A be an R -algebra. Then the following two conditions are equivalent:

- (i) A is stably equivalent to $R^{[1]}$.

$$(ii) \quad A \cong_R R[Z^{p^e}, Z + a_1 Z^p + \dots + a_r Z^{rp}],$$

where $a_i \in F(R)$ such that $a_i^{p^e} \in R$.

Theorem 2.6. Let R be a ring containing an infinite field k . Let A and B be any pair of R -algebras stably equivalent to $R^{[1]}$. Then $A \otimes_R B \cong_R R^{[2]}$.

When R does not contain a field, there is a counterexample of Theorem 2.6 as follows:

Proposition 2.7. Let R be an integral domain with the quotient field K . Suppose there is an element $t \in K$ such that $t^r R[t] \subset R$ and $4t^2 \notin 2R + t^r R[t]$ for some positive integer r . We can choose an element $F \in R[t, Z]$, so that $F + tF^2 \equiv Z \pmod{t^r}$. If we set an R -algebra $A = R[F] + t^r R[t, Z]$, then $A^{[1]} \cong_R R^{[2]}$ and $A \otimes_R A \not\cong_R R^{[2]}$.

Example. Let $R = \mathbb{Z}[2t]$ and $r = 9$, where $t^3 = 2$. Then $R = \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}2t + \mathbb{Z}4t^2$ and $R[t] = \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}t + \mathbb{Z}t^2$. Thus $2t \in R$ and $t^9 R[t] = 8R[t] \subset 2R$. If $4t^2 \in 2R + t^9 R[t] \subset 2R$, then $4t^2 \in \mathbb{Z}8t^2$. This shows that $1 \in 2\mathbb{Z}$, which is a contradiction. Therefore $4t^2 \notin 2R + t^9 R[t]$. Thus $R = \mathbb{Z}[2t]$ satisfies the condition in Proposition 2.7.

Let k be an algebraic^{ally} closed field of characteristic $p \geq 0$, and let R be a one dimensional affine k -domain. Then R is k -invariant ([1]). Now we consider the k -invariance of $A = R^{[1]}$.

If $R \cong k^{[1]}$, i.e., $A \cong k^{[2]}$, then A is k -invariant ([10],[13]). On the other hand, if $R \not\cong k^{[1]}$, then any k -isomorphism $f: R^{[n+1]} \rightarrow B^{[n]}$ implies $f(R) \subset B$, where B is an affine k -domain ([1],[8]). Therefore we have the following:

Theorem 2.8. Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p \geq 0$, and let R be a one dimensional affine k -domain. Suppose B is a k -algebra such that $R^{[n+1]} \cong_k B^{[n]}$.

- (i) If $p = 0$, then $B \cong_k R^{[1]}$.
- (ii) If $p > 0$, then $B^{[1]} \cong_k R^{[2]}$ and $B \cong_k R[Z^{p^e}, Z + a_1 Z^p + \dots + a_r Z^{rp}]$, where $a_i \in F(R)$ such that $a_i^{p^e} \in R$. In particular $R^{[1]}$ is k -invariant if and only if R is an F -ring.

Example. Let k be a field of characteristic zero. Let us set

$$A = k[Y, Z + Z^3, (Y-1)(Y-2)Z, (Y-1)(Y-2)Z^2]$$

and

$$B = k[Y, YZ + Y^3 Z^3, (Y-1)(Y-2)Z, (Y-1)(Y-2)Z^2].$$

Then $A^{[1]} \cong_k B^{[1]}$ and $A \not\cong_k B$. Thus A is not k -invariant ([4]).

3. Algebras with polynomial fibres

Theorem 3.1. Let R be a noetherian ring, and let A be a finitely-generated flat R -algebra. Suppose $k(\mathfrak{p}) \otimes_R A \cong k(\mathfrak{p})^{[1]}$ for each prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of R . Then A is a locally quasi-polynomial R -algebra, i.e., $R_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes_R A$ is a quasi-polynomial $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -algebra for each prime ideal \mathfrak{p} . (cf. [7])

Corollary 3.2. Let R be a noetherian semi-normal ring, and let A be as in Theorem 3.1. Then $A \cong_R S_R(N)$ for some $N \in \text{Pic}(R)$.

Corollary 3.3. Let R be a reduced noetherian ring. Then the following two conditions are equivalent:

(i) A is a finitely-generated, flat, locally, quasi-polynomial R -algebra in one variable.

(ii) A is weakly projective R -algebra such that (Krull)
 $\dim k(\mathfrak{p}) \otimes_R A = 1$ for each prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of R .

Corollary 3.4. ([11]) Let R be a noetherian ring, and let A be an R -subalgebra of $R^{[1]}$ such that $R^{[1]}$ is f.flat over A . Then A is a locally quasi-polynomial R -algebra.

Corollary 3.5. Let R be a noetherian ring containing a field of characteristic zero, and let A be an R -algebra. Suppose there is a finitely-generated integral extension S over R such that $S \otimes_R A \cong_S S^{[1]}$. Then A is a locally quasi-polynomial R -algebra.

4. Invertible algebras.

Let A be an invertible R -algebra. It is easy to see that $\Omega_R(A)$ is a finitely-generated projective A -module. If $\Omega_R(A)$ is of rank r , then we say that an invertible algebra A is of rank r . If R is an integral domain, then any invertible R -algebra is an integral domain of finite rank.

Theorem 4.1. An R -algebra A is invertible of rank one if and

only if A is stably equivalent to $S_R(N)$ for some $N \in \text{Pic}(R)$.

Applying [7] to Theorem 2.4 and Theorem 4.1 we have the following

Corollary 4.2. Let A be an invertible R -algebra of rank one such that R_{red} is an F -ring. Then $A \cong_R S_R(N)$ for some $N \in \text{Pic}(R)$.

In a similar way we have the following corollary of Theorem 2.5 and Theorem 4.1 by [7].

Corollary 4.3. Let A and B be any pair of invertible R -algebras of rank one. Suppose R contains an infinite field. Then $A \otimes_R B \cong_R S_R(M) \otimes_R S_R(N)$ for some $M, N \in \text{Pic}(R)$.

References

- [1] S. Abhyankar, W. Heinzer and P. Eakin, On the uniqueness of the coefficient ring in a polynomial ring, *J. Algebra*, 23(1973), 310 - 342.
- [2] T. Asanuma, On strongly invariant coefficient rings, *Osaka J. Math.* 11(1974), 587 - 593.
- [3] T. Asanuma, D -algebras which are D -stably equivalent to $D[Z]$, *Proc. Intl. Symp. On Algebraic Geometry, Kyoto, (1977)*, 447 - 476, (Kinokuniya Tokyo)
- [4] T. Asanuma, Cancellation problem, *Report of Symp. On Algebraic Geometry, Kinosaki (1980)*, 21 - 34, (Japanese)
- [5] T. Asanuma, On stably polynomial algebras, Preprint.

- [6] T. Asanuma, On quasi-polynomial algebras, Preprint.
- [7] H. Bass, E.H. Connell and D. Wright, Locally polynomial algebras are symmetric algebras, *Inventiones Math.* 38(1977), 279 - 299.
- [8] S.M. Bhatwadekar, A note on strongly invariant rings, *J. Algebra*, 50(1978), 297 - 298.
- [9] E.H. Connell, A K-theory for the category of projective algebras, *J. Pure Appl. Alg.* 5(1974), 281 - 292.
- [10] T. Fujita, On Zariski problem, *Proc. Japan Acad.* 55(1979), 106 - 110.
- [11] S. Glaz, J.D. Sally and W.V. Vasconcelos, Lüroth's problem for rings, *J. Algebra*, 43(1976), 699 - 708.
- [12] E. Hamann, On the R -invariance of $R[X]$, *J. Algebra*, 35(1975), 1 - 16.
- [13] M. Miyanishi and T. Sugie, Affine surface containing cylinder-like open sets, *J. Math. Kyoto*, 20-1(1980), 11 - 42.

Faculty of Education

Toyama University